

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/772,830		01/30/2001	Frank K. Baker JR.	SC11150TH	2027	
23125	<b>7</b> 590	11/12/2004		EXAMINER		
FREESCA LAW DEPA		ICONDUCTOR, IN	NAMAZI, MEHDI			
7700 WEST PARMER LANE MD:TX32/PL02			L02	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, 7	X 78729	)		2188		

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/772,830	BAKER ET AL.						
nancery near.	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Mehdi Namazi	2188						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application abandonment of this application abandonent which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leads on the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);						
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);							
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s. ·					
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 6-10, 12-14, and 16-26</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ appr	oved or b)□ disapproved by t	ne Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•						
10. Other:								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant states "one of ordinary skill in the a would not have motivated to use the circuitry of Cliff configured as a ROM in the memory circuit of Okuno". Examiner respectfully disagree, because Okuno teaches all the limitation of Claim 1 including accessing the first storage element of the first row and accessing the second row. However, Okuno does not clearly states that the storage elements comprising of plurality of nonvolatile memory cells. Cliff was introduced as secondary reference to overcome the difficiency of the storage elements comprising a plurality of memory cells. Cliff clearly discloses a storage with plurality of cell array comprising a plurality of nonvolatile cells(ROM array). Claim language is calling to access the storage elements. therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use cliff's ROM array instate of Okuno's memory cell array in order to avoid data lost in the event of power lost.

MANO PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Mano Ramonasho